

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/777,912	02/11/2004		Milo S. Medin	19675-08643	6075
758	7590	04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FENWICK			NEURAUTER, GEORGE C		
SILICON V 801 CALIFO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2143	
				DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Applicant(s)		
MEDIN, MILO S.		
Art Unit		
2143		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence add	ress				
			,				
HE REPLY FILED 23 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fl	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the a safter the mailing date of the final rejection.	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	jected claims.					
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	i):						
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	;nea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	_	No(s).  DAVID WILEY  DIVISORY PATENT EX	Aminer				
	CUDE						

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments contain no functional relationship between the recited multicasting of content with a second area and requests from end user systems within the second area, therefore, it is unclear as to why a second head end is necessary to cache content since the second head end does not functionally accomplish anything with this content as opposed to the first head end which actually sends the customized content to end user systems as positively recited. The claims are contain typographical errors. Therefore, the amendments will not be entered and not considered to be in condition for allowance, since the proposed amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal and raise clarity issues that will require further consideration by the Examiner.